UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

<u>ELIZ</u>	ZABETH L. SHIELDS			
	Plaintiff(s)		CIVIL ACTION	
	V.			
KEN	NNETH VAN VOORHIS, JR. et al		NO. <u>04cv12</u> 4	131GAO_
	Defendant(s)			
		ORT RE: REFERENC ATIVE DISPUTE RES		
	TO J	UDGE <u>O'TOOLE</u>		
[]	The above entitled case was repo	orted settled after refe	rral to the ADR Program,	but prior to ADR.
[X]	On11/1/2005 I held the following ADR proceeding:			
	SCREENING CC	NFERENCE	EARLY NEUTRAL EV	ALUATION
	X MEDIATION SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL			
	MINI-TRIAL		_ SETTLEMENT CONFE	ERENCE
	All parties were represented by counsel [except]			
	The parties were / were not pres	ent in person or by au	uthorized corporate office	[except
].		
	The case was:			
[X]	Settled. Your clerk should enter a <u>60</u> day order of dismissal.			
There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for				unless the
	case is reported settled prior to that date.			
[]	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This			
	case should be restored to your trial list.			
[]	Suggested strategy to facilitate se	ettlement:		
11/	1/2005	/e/ M	IAGISTRATE JUDGE BO	WI FR
1 1/	DATE		Provider	V V L_ I \

[adrrpt.]

(ADR Report.wpd - 4/12/2000)